

LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of Administrative Workshop Meeting held August 24, 2004

An administrative workshop meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Lower Paxton Township was called to order at 6:05 p.m. by Chairman William B. Hawk on the above date in the Lower Paxton Township Municipal Center, 425 Prince Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

Supervisors present in addition to Mr. Hawk were William C. Seeds, Sr., William L. Hornung, Gary A. Crissman, and David B. Blain.

Also in attendance were George Wolfe, Township Manager; Lori Wissler, Planning and Zoning Officer; and Steve Stine, Township Solicitor.

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Hawk led the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Wal-Mart Reply Letter

Mr. Wolfe explained that Wal-Mart's response to the conditional letter of approval for the land development plan failed to include an acceptance to the conditions of approval. He noted that this failure could be considered a deemed denial of the plan.

Mr. Stine explained that the Township's Ordinance has a provision which states that when a conditional approval is granted, the applicant must accept or reject the conditions of the plan within 15 days of the date they received the letter or otherwise the approval is rescinded and the plan is deemed denied.

Mr. Stine explained that Mr. Wolfe sent the approval letter, which listed the eight conditions of the plan, and Wal-Mart was to respond, within 15 days of receiving the letter, in writing by either accepting the conditions, rejecting the conditions, or accepting some of the

conditions and rejecting others. Mr. Stine noted that Mr. Snyder responded in writing by stating that the conditions were correctly summarized, but failed to accept or deny the conditions. He noted that he made mention of the one condition which concerned a fence on the Potteiger property. He noted that the 15-day time limit has expired, and according to the provisions of the ordinance, the plan is deemed denied, due to their failure to accept the conditions of the plan.

Mr. Stine noted that Mr. Snyder could respond in two ways regarding this situation. He noted that he might argue that the approval letter was not sent to the applicant, since the letter was sent to Mr. Snyder, who was not the applicant. Therefore the plan would be deemed approved since the letter was not sent to the right person. He noted that the Township's response would be that Mr. Snyder signed the application as the authorized agent for the applicant. He noted that he requested all the extensions, and stated at the various meetings that he was the representative of Wal-Mart. Mr. Crissman noted that that was public record. Mr. Stine noted that Mr. Snyder could counter that the address for the applicant listed on the application was listed as Bentonville, Arkansas. He further stated that Mr. Snyder explained that the letter must be sent to the last known address, so that would be their argument regarding the situation. Mr. Hawk questioned who the Township would direct a letter to in Arkansas since there was no name on the application. Mr. Stine noted that it would have to be sent "To Whom It May Concern." Mr. Wolfe noted that if the letter was sent to Wal-Mart they would not have responded in the appropriate time.

Mr. Blain questioned if Mr. Snyder was trying to say that he is not the registered agent. Mr. Stine noted that Mr. Snyder is arguing that he is not the applicant, and that the approval letter should have been sent to Wal-Mart. Mr. Wolfe noted that there is no signed application form from Wal-Mart. He noted that Wal-Mart never submitted anything to the Township. Mr. Stine noted that he did not know if there was any case law to cover this.

Mr. Stine noted that the second argument that Wal-Mart would try to make is, that in the approval letter, which states that the letter must be accepted within 15 days, or the failure to provide the acceptance of the conditions within the specified time period would result in a deemed denial of the plan. He noted that Wal-Mart may argue that the letter does not cite the specific Ordinance provision. He noted that since it does not, then there would be a deemed approval since the letter failed to cite the ordinance provision regarding the reason for denial. Mr. Stine noted that this is not a good argument since the reason for the deemed denial would be that the conditions do not satisfy the plan. He noted that he does not see this as a strong argument either.

Mr. Stine advised that the Township should write a letter noting the lack of response to the conditions for the plan and state that the plan is deemed denied. Wal-Mart could then file a mandamus action for a deemed approval on two grounds; number one, the Township never sent the letter to the applicant, and number two, the Township did not cite the ordinance provision for the 15-day time period. He noted that the Township would respond by filing preliminary objections, stating that they did not state the cause of action upon which relief could be granted, or otherwise known as a demur, basically stating that the Township sent the letter to the authorized agent who is, under law, sufficient and that the Township did cite the provisions, numbered one through eight with all the Ordinance citations.

Mr. Hawk noted that Wal-Mart representatives never appeared at any of the Township meetings. Therefore, they obviously designated Mr. Snyder to appear on their behalf. Mr. Wolfe noted that if the plan is deemed denied, and they don't appeal, then Wal-Mart must comply with the new zoning regulations, which includes the 65% coverage. He noted that the entire plan would have to be revised and it would include a 235,000 sq. foot plan. Mr. Hawk noted that Wal-Mart would need to reapply, unless a judge settled in Wal-Mart's favor.

Mr. Blain noted that the Ordinance states that Mr. Snyder had 15 days to state in writing that Wal-Mart agreed with the conditions of the plan, and sign the letter. He questioned if they did not meet the 15 days requirement. Mr. Stine noted that they did not, and that is what is required by the Ordinance. Mr. Blain noted that they may argue as to where the letter should have been sent, but he noted that he did not think that it was an issue, since Mr. Snyder would be recognized as the acting agent for Wal-Mart. Mr. Blain noted that the Township has enforced the law and did what was right. He noted that he is not in any position to bend the law or break the law to accommodate Wal-Mart. Mr. Stine suggested that Mr. Snyder should have written a letter stating that he would accept the conditions one through eight as stated in the letter, and object to condition nine as stated for the following reasons.

Mr. Blain questioned what the next step would be. Mr. Stine noted that the Ordinance is self-executing. He stated that the Ordinance states that if the letter is not received within 15 days, the approval is rescinded and the plan is deemed denied. Mr. Stine suggested that Mr. Wolfe should send a letter stating that the response was not made within 15 days, and, as such, the plan is denied. Mr. Stine suggested that the Township does not have the ability to waive this requirement.

Mr. Blain suggested that the Township should be consistent in its action. He noted that the Board of Supervisors is acting as a government agency and should not bend the laws. He noted that the Township must enforce the laws. He noted that the Board of Supervisors should portray consistent action across the board. Mr. Wolfe noted that the plan is deemed denied due to the inactivity towards the requirements for the plan.

Mr. Stine noted that if Wal-Mart would file a mandamus action and win, then they would be granted deemed approval of the plan as originally submitted, and all the major issues that the Township had with the plan were zoning issues, not subdivision and land development issues. He

noted that if Wal-Mart loses their appeal, then the major zoning issues would need to be brought before the Zoning Hearing Board.

Mr. Seeds noted that he agreed with Mr. Blain. Mr. Blain noted that official notification should be sent to Mr. Snyder. Ms. Wissler questioned what would happen if Wal-Mart filed a mandamus action. Mr. Stine answered that he would file preliminary objections.

Mr. Blain noted that the Board of Supervisors did what was expected of them as a governing entity, and now the Board must continue to do the same.

Mr. Crissman questioned who the response letter should be sent to. Mr. Stine noted that he was going to speak to Mr. Wolfe regarding this. Mr. Crissman suggested that the Township should be consistent in the handling of this matter, and since the initial letter was sent to Mr. Snyder, then the denial letter should be sent to Mr. Snyder as well. Mr. Wolfe noted that if Mr. Snyder is not the applicant, and the applicant is Wal-Mart, then the Township has never had any plans submitted to the Township from Wal-Mart. Mr. Blain noted that Wal-Mart hired Mr. Snyder as the engineer. Mr. Stine suggested that Mr. Snyder was more than the engineer, noting that Mr. Snyder was authorized to do everything. He noted that he was authorized to sign extension letters. Mr. Wolfe noted that he was acting as their agent. Mr. Seeds noted that Mr. Snyder noted in his letter that he would be willing to accept the conditions once the correction was made to the conditions. He noted that he could accept the conditions of the plan once the language was changed. Mr. Seeds noted that he did not reference acceptance of the fence type by the Potteigers in his motion regarding the fence issue. Mr. Wolfe noted that there were comments during the discussion regarding this point.

Mr. Hawk requested Mr. Wolfe to send the letter. Mr. Stine noted that he would talk to Mr. Wolfe tomorrow regarding the wording of the letter to be sent to Mr. Snyder. Mr. Stine noted that Mr. Snyder stated that he disagreed with condition number nine, but never said anything about accepting the first eight conditions. Mr. Wolfe noted that the current plan would

not come before the Board of Supervisors again. He explained that the court would take action to reaffirm the current plan, or a new plan would need to be made to comply with the new zoning regulations.

Mr. Seeds noted that he received a letter from Mr. Burns, who is the Director of the Department of Aging for Dauphin County. Mr. Wolfe noted that he has prepared a draft letter response to Mr. Burns, noting that he would copy the Dauphin County Commissioners as well.

Review of responses to the Township's RFP for new zoning ordinances

Mr. Wolfe noted that seven RFP's were sent, and only one response was received. He noted that he received a letter from Mr. Roth who stated that he was impressed with the Comprehensive Plan and suggested that the consultants for that plan, Gannett Fleming, be used to update the zoning policies.

Mr. Blain noted that the proposal from Urban Research and Development Corporation was a very good proposal. He noted that they identified the Township very well, had the background experience in this type of work, and the fee was very reasonable. He noted that he had a concern regarding their cutting corners in order to stay within the proposed fee. He noted that the quality of the document was very important, since this would dictate the Township's policy for the next ten or 15 years.

Mr. Wolfe noted that he thought it was a very good proposal and he requested the Board of Supervisors to interview the consultant. He suggested that Mr. Schmehl was very competent, but he recommended that the Board of Supervisors interview him prior to making their decision. He noted that Mr. Schmehl suggested that the Board of Supervisors may want to devise an emergency ordinance to cover the big topics first, to buy time before the project is completed. Mr. Blain noted that he liked the idea of a two-step plan. He noted that Mr. Schmehl seemed to understand the Township's issues. Mr. Wolfe stated that he wanted to make sure the Board of

Supervisors would feel comfortable working with Mr. Schmehl and the Planning Commission. Mr. Seeds noted that it would be a good idea to interview Mr. Schmehl. Mr. Crissman questioned if Mr. Schmehl would be the lead person in the work. Mr. Wolfe noted that he is the person he would suggest to be present for the interview. Mr. Wolfe noted that Mr. Gillcrest would not have much to do with this project. Mr. Hornung suggested that this group may have many of the ordinances already prepared since they did similar work for other townships. Mr. Crissman noted that they have Pennsylvania experience with their past work. Mr. Hornung noted that they have worked for municipalities similar to Lower Paxton Township. Ms. Wissler noted that she knows the zoning officer for Harrisburg, and could check with him to see what kind of work this company performs.

Mr. Wolfe noted that this would not be a citizen participation process. He noted that it would involve the Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission. Mr. Crissman noted that Mr. Schmehl's academic credentials are excellent. Mr. Hawk suggested having Mr. Schmehl appear for a half-hour interview prior to the next Board of Supervisors' meeting.

Mission and Vision Statements

Mr. Wolfe noted that he had a Power Point document dated August 24, 2004, with mission statements provided by Mr. Hawk, Mr. Crissman and, a vision statement that he wrote. Mr. Wolfe explained that he included the working definitions of mission and vision statements. He suggested reviewing the current statements and having an open discussion regarding what is liked and what is not liked.

Mr. Hawk noted that he wanted to modify his mission statement to read, "to provide the necessary services to meet the needs of a growing township and to provide and promote a meaningful economic environment that is responsible to the residential and commercial..."

Mr. Seeds noted that this is the mission statement for Township staff and the board members of the various Township committees. He provided his mission statement to read as, “To represent, work for, and provide the finest municipal government services possible to each and every township resident in a cost effective manner while promoting strong family and community values.

Mr. Crissman changed his statement in the last phrase to read, “the highest quality of life”.

Mr. Wolfe suggested looking for the common thread in all the mission statements. He noted that they included the following

- High quality of life
- Efficient government
- Cost effectiveness.

Mr. Wolfe questioned if the mission statements were missing any concepts excluding any segments of the community, value statement, etc.

Mr. Hornung suggested the wording, “To strive to be progressive and creative in our solutions.” He noted that the Supervisors tend to analyze the situation and do not immediately take the first solution, in order to come up with something new and innovative. He noted that the Supervisors endeavor to be cost effective in their solutions as well. He noted that the Supervisors perform a good job in their leadership roles.

Mr. Wolfe noted that these suggestions are not contained in the mission statement. Mr. Crissman noted that he liked the words progressive and creative. He suggested inserting the wording “by providing efficient, progressive, and creative professional services.” He noted that the Supervisors are creative and do perform state of the art work.

Mr. Seeds suggested that the mission statement should not become too wordy.

Mr. Hawk suggested that live, work and play is a catch phrase and suggested something could replace that. Mr. Crissman suggested unity. Mr. Crissman noted that it encompasses three different realms, as many people live and play in the Township, but not necessarily work in the Township. Mr. Crissman noted that these three words are good and should be included. Mr. Seeds noted that he did not like that phrase.

Mr. Seeds suggested having Mr. Wolfe review all mission statements and come up with a sampling from all three statements. Mr. Seeds suggested that the mission statement should be less than 30 words. Mr. Blain noted that it should be one or two sentences, and a very clear statement.

Mr. Crissman noted that the words “Lower Paxton Township” should be taken out as it implicit that it is the Township’s mission statement. Mr. Hawk suggested that it should read, “to promote a creative atmosphere that allows us to provide a creative approach.” Mr. Seeds noted that he did not like the word “necessary,” since the Township provides more than necessary services such as the extensive parks and community center. Mr. Wolfe suggested that the alternative is essential services. Mr. Wolfe noted that the leadership concept is missing from the three samples of mission statements.

Mr. Hawk suggested adding, “To encourage a creative and progressive leadership atmosphere that provides the services needed for a growing township, and to promote...” Mr. Seeds noted that the Township won’t always be growing in population. Mr. Hawk suggested changing “growing” to “vibrant.”

Mr. Hornung noted that it is not necessary to state the obvious. He suggested adding, “to create a progressive leadership atmosphere.”

Mr. Blain suggested adding the following mission statement: “To provide a vast array of progressive services in a creative atmosphere that enhances the quality of life of all residents and

businesses while maintaining a stable cost structure that provides or economic stability.” He noted that he took a little bit from each and tried to make it short.

Mr. Wolfe questioned the wording, “vast array of services,” because he noted that the Township provides more basic services. Mr. Blain noted that along with providing basic services, the Township has a park service and the Friendship Community Center (FCC) that are more than basic services. Mr. Wolfe noted that the purpose of the mission statement is to guide the Township Supervisors and Staff into the future. He noted that people have asked for numerous things, such as a dog or frisbee park, and the Supervisors have responded by stating that they are here to provide mainstream local government. Mr. Blain stated that it could be changed to “provide basic services or governmental services.” Mr. Seeds suggested efficient governmental services. Mr. Crissman noted that we must state what the Township provides, and how it provides it. Mr. Crissman noted that many mission statements are 60 words or longer, and it does not have to be short. Mr. Blain suggested that the mission statement must be short and concise, or people would not take the time to read it.

Mr. Wolfe noted that it is good that an open and frank discussion is occurring regarding the mission statement. Mr. Seeds noted that he could live with any of the mission statements given. Mr. Wolfe noted that the individual supervisors know why they are doing the job they have been elected to do, but the residents do not always seem to know that and that is the reason for developing a mission statement. Mr. Wolfe noted that the mission statement would be included on every item that the Township publishes.

Mr. Blain suggested that each supervisor may have specific core values that are important to them. Mr. Blain noted that Mr. Seeds is very concerned with parks and Mr. Crissman is concerned with the FCC and land development issues. He noted that the supervisors share similar core values, but may have specific values of importance to them. Mr. Crissman noted that his interest in the FCC does not necessarily portray his core values toward the municipality. Mr.

Crissman noted that his responsibility is to pass judgment on policies for the municipality. He noted that the FCC is not his main focus or core value.

Mr. Hornung questioned what Mr. Crissman's responsibility would be for the Township. Mr. Crissman answered that it would be part of the mission statement to provide an opportunity to be able to live, work, and play in a community that is prosperous, economically-sound, diverse, and all of these types of things that he incorporated into his mission statement.

Mr. Hornung noted that the mission statement would filter to the Township employees and the Supervisors as a point of direction. He questioned if the attitude of the mission statement should include diversity. Mr. Crissman stated that he thought the mission statement should include this. Mr. Hornung noted that he thought it would be more appropriate on the county level. Mr. Seeds noted that the Township is changing. Mr. Crissman noted that it is part of the Township's responsibility to include diversity in its mission statement, since it is through diversity that you gain strength.

Mr. Blain noted that his core value would be safety and the welfare of the Township residents through improved public roads, etc. Mr. Crissman noted that core values are made up of many things. Mr. Seeds noted that his first love is parks, but that does not mean that he does not care about road safety. Mr. Crissman noted that each supervisor was asked to take one area to be supportive of, and he is responsible for the FCC. Mr. Crissman noted that he did not have one single issue that drives him in relation to his work as a Township Supervisor.

Mr. Wolfe noted that a statement of core values is a necessity. He noted that core values and special interests are not the same thing. He suggested that core values would be to treat everyone with respect, honesty, and integrity in government. He noted that core values need to be incorporated into the process. Mr. Hawk suggested that a core value would be to listen to the values of the community, and to respond in a creative manner, to provide services to the Township that promotes a meaningful and economic environment in a cost effective manner.

Mr. Blain noted that everyone's goal is to be responsive to the residents needs. Mr. Wolfe noted that to listen and respond is an example of a core value.

Mr. Hornung noted that in talking about the quality of life, in many mission statements, safety is quality of life, but it could incorporate many other things that could be filtered out in the goals and objectives, or the core values. He noted that quality of life could be all inclusive. It has other notations.

Mr. Wolfe noted that he requested Mr. Snyder to call him prior to him coming to the meeting. He questioned how much longer the supervisors wanted to work on the mission statement and core values. It was decided that they would reschedule the meeting with Mr. Snyder to a later date.

Mr. Hornung noted that the creation of mission statements and core values should have been done years ago, and he questioned if it could have been accomplished with prior board members.

Mr. Wolfe suggested the Board members to think about the visioning process which may affect the overall mission. He noted that this may guide the development of the mission statement further. Mr. Wolfe noted that he would expect the Township to grow and develop. He noted that he does not see development ending in the foreseeable future. He noted that he continues to see planned growth and development.

Mr. Seeds questioned if the Township would be fully developed in 20 years with 75,000 residents. Mr. Wolfe noted that the Township has increased its population by 5,000 people every ten years.

Mr. Wolfe noted that his approach to the vision statement is what we want to be at in some point in the future. Mr. Hornung noted that it must be measurable. He noted that the Township should be recognized as a very progressive township. He noted that he would like someone to say that the government in Lower Paxton Township is the best in the

Commonwealth. He noted that this is his vision, and this filters down to many issues. Mr. Hawk noted that many people are envious of Lower Paxton Township due to how the Township does what it does in an efficient, thinking, and listening manner.

Mr. Wolfe noted that the Vision Statement could be simplified by saying that we want to be the best. Mr. Hawk suggested that the measure of the success of the Township is its continued growth. Mr. Hornung suggested that it is to provide the best local government possible. He noted that this requires you to be the best, to be progressive, to be creative, and to provide a great quality of life. He noted that it would require having the best Police Department, the most progressive land use ordinances, to listen to people, lowest taxes, most efficient government, and great leadership.

Mr. Blain questioned if it was too vast to say this. Mr. Wolfe noted that the problem is that we haven't defined what best is. Mr. Crissman noted that he made his vision statement based on his mission statement. He noted that he wants the Township to be a desirous place to live, work, and play in the 21st century.

Mr. Seeds suggested adding "the promotion of education and employment." He questioned if the vision statement would include providing improved transportation and infrastructure.

Mr. Hornung noted that a vision statement should be ten words or less. He suggested that it is the source of direction for individual areas that are based on the core values. He noted that a mission statement could be a little longer, and from that, comes your goals and objectives. He noted that it must be measurable, simple, and should be written on a fifth grade reading level.

Mr. Hawk suggested the following, "To provide a government that enhances the high quality of life in a cost effective manner." Mr. Seeds questioned if you measure the quality of life by costs.

Mr. Wolfe suggested that the mission and vision statements are being mixed, and he noted that what you provide is your mission, and your vision is what you want to be. Mr. Hornung questioned what the supervisors want to achieve. He suggested that the supervisors would want to be recognized as leaders 20 years from now. He noted that he would like to have someone say that the supervisors were visionaries, they could see into the future. He suggested that the supervisors would like to provide visionary leadership in government. He noted that a mission statement should be short, but measurable.

Mr. Hornung noted that the mission statement should support the vision statement by achieving the vision. Mr. Hornung noted that he would like to be recognized as a member of a very progressive and visionary government. Mr. Seeds noted that he would want people to say that he was honest and he cared about his community. Mr. Hornung noted that the vision must encompass everything that is in the mission statement. Core values provide the means to reach the mission statement because they provide the restraints in which you meet your mission.

Mr. Wolfe suggested the following, "Progressive government working within the bounds of available resources, leading community in Pennsylvania for citizens to live, work, play and socialize within the highest possible quality of life."

Mr. Hawk suggested that the founding fathers founded something very special with the constitution, which is still very effective today. Mr. Hornung noted that those men were examples of visionaries that he would certainly like to strive for. He noted that he would want to be able to govern in that fashion and to provide a structure that provides ordinances that are sound 20 years from now. He noted that that is the kind of leadership that the Township would want to provide to its citizens.

Mr. Hawk requested Mr. Wolfe to print out the examples created at this meeting and to have the supervisors review them before the next administrative meeting. Mr. Wolfe stated that he would put the statements into a workable format for the next meeting.

Budget Meetings and Road Tours

Mr. Wolfe noted that he would have to schedule budget meetings in the near future as well as a road tour. Mr. Hornung suggested that the road tours are a waste of time. Mr. Seeds noted that, many years ago, the road tours were very beneficial, but that is not the case now. Mr. Wolfe noted that the Board members are more active members and review things on their own. Mr. Seeds noted that he has changed his mind as a result of a road tour with Board members. Mr. Hornung suggested that the vision and mission statements are more important than the road tours, and the road tour could be postponed this time. Mr. Seeds questioned if there were sewer issues that needed to be addressed. Mr. Hawk noted that the road tours do familiarize him with areas that he is not aware of. Mr. Wolfe noted that the clear water sanitary storm sewer needed to be reviewed, and this could be scheduled prior to a scheduled meeting. Mr. Wolfe noted that some road tours have shown how difficult a problem may be. Mr. Seeds noted that he sometimes has difficulty hearing everyone in the van during the road tour. Mr. Wolfe noted that he would schedule road tours for hot items prior to a meeting.

Colonial Park Station 2

Mr. Wolfe noted that Mr. McClain is interested in buying Colonial Park Fire Station 2. Mr. Seeds noted that he attended the recent board meeting of the South Central Emergency Medical Services, (SCEMS) at which time it was decided not to meet with members of Colonial Park Fire Company to discuss the purchase of Station 2. Mr. Seeds noted that he thought that this was a mistake. He explained that SCEMS told him that it was not a good location, since they liked the Popular Street location, and there is no money to purchase the building. He noted that there is no support to purchase the building. Mr. Seeds questioned if the Township should consider purchasing the building. He noted that an appraisal would need to be done. Mr. Blain noted that Colonial Park Fire Company had the building appraised at \$400,000.00 last year. Mr.

Seeds noted that he heard that the Central Dauphin School District was going to shut down their access from that area to the school.

Mr. Seeds noted that Mr. McClain sold his business in West Hanover Township and is looking for a new place. Mr. Blain noted that his goal was to purchase the building, to provide Colonial Park with a substation, and to share the station with SCEMS. He noted that the majority of growth is in the southeastern end of the Township and that area needs more timely fire protection. He noted that public safety is important to him, and he suggested that SCEMS should use the building as a substation as well.

Mr. Seeds noted that Mr. Calhoun told him that there is a state law that prohibits a fire company from sharing a building with an ambulance association. Mr. Hornung noted that with the new HIPA requirements, there would need to be an area in the building that would be secured for records, files, and drugs. He suggested that that is not a problem, and would not prevent the two entities from sharing a facility.

Mr. Wolfe explained that HIPA does not prohibit the combination of the two entities. Mr. Wolfe noted that he would ask the Public Safety Committee for a recommendation as to whether the Township should maintain the old Colonial Park Fire Company. He noted that it could cause problems with the other two fire companies.

Mr. Seeds noted that there are ongoing talks with SCEMS and Pinnacle Health at Osteopathic Hospital regarding housing an ambulance at the hospital. He noted that that was mentioned at the SCEMS Board meeting too.

Mr. Blain noted that there would be delayed response time to the southwest part of the Township. Mr. Seeds noted that some fire companies are consolidating their companies as well.

Mr. Hawk noted that he could contact someone at Pinnacle Health as to their intentions regarding ambulance service.

Adjournment

There being no further business, Mr. Seeds made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Hornung seconded the motion, and the meeting adjourned at 8:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Maureen Heberle

Approved by,

Gary A. Crissman
Township Secretary